

**Request:**

All of the below questions relate to judicial reviews of planning permission from 2016-2018. Please answer in the formats provided below

1. How many judicial review claims of decisions to award planning permission/consent were received in (a) 2016, (b) 2017, and (c) 2018

Year	Number of claims
2016	0
2017	1
2018	0

2. What were the planning application numbers/references of the decisions that were subject to judicial review claims?

Year	Application Numbers
2016	n/a
2017	16/01889/FUL
2018	n/a

3. Of the judicial review claims received, how many proceeded to a substantive hearing?

Year	Number proceeded to hearing
2016	0
2017	0
2018	0

4. Of the judicial review claims, how many resulted in either a Consent Order or planning decision being withdrawn?

Year	Consent Order issued/Decision withdrawn
2016	0
2017	0
2018	0

5. Of the successful claims, how many resulted in either a quashing order being issued?

Year	Quashing Order issued
2016	0
2017	0
2018	0

6. How much was spent by the council on carrying out judicial reviews in 2016, 2017 and 2018?

Year	Average spent
------	---------------

The information supplied to you is owned by the council unless otherwise stated and may be protected by copyright. You are free to use it for your own purposes, including any non-commercial research or for the purposes of news reporting. Any other re-use of a commercial nature will require the permission of the Council. Further enquiries in this respect should be directed to Head of Law and Governance, North Tyneside Council, Quadrant The Silver Link North, Cobalt Business Park, North Tyneside, NE27 0BY



Reference: FOI1326

2016	0
2017	£10,200
2018	0

7. For each of the claims detailed above, please provide details on the reasons why a judicial review claim was made:

<b>Application/Reference number</b>	<b>Reason(s)</b>
16/01889/FUL (Court ref. CO/2719/2017)	Third party brought claim on basis that the impact of noise from its premises had not been correctly considered