

DN475749

10 Scoring System (Units of 10 if required)	Scoring Context
0 = Unacceptable/failed to address	No response or extremely limited response
2 = Reservations	Response is limited and is lacking in relation to a significant proportion of material elements, is unworkable and/or inconsistent and only partially meets the Minimum Requirements. Shows limited understanding of, and/or inappropriate approach to the matter in question.
5 = Satisfactory	A broad response with an adequate level of information provided that is relevant and the response meets the Minimum Requirements. Shows reasonable understanding of, and/or acceptable approach to the matter in question.
8 = Good	Good level of information provided that is relevant and the response meets the Minimum Requirements and exceeds them in some respects.
10 = Excellent	Exemplary response. Comprehensive level of information provided that is relevant and the response exceeds the required standards in all respects. The Bidder could not be expected to answer the question more comprehensively or appropriately.

Pricing Evaluation
The Supplier with the lowest price will be awarded the highest score available, all other Suppliers will be scored using the following method:
(Lowest Submitted Bid / Suppliers Submitted Bid) x Total Score Available = Total Score 500 / 750 * 30 = 20
For example the total weighting for a price evaluation is 70%. The lowest submitted Total Figure is £500 and the Supplier submits a Total Figure of £750. The Supplier will receive a score of 20. If a supplier submits a price of £1000 they will get a score of 15.

Scoring Matrix		MGL			Robertson Birdsell			Thompson				
		Supplier 1			Supplier 2			Supplier 3				
Section 8.1 - Additional Questions												
Section 8.1 - Project specific questions to assess technical and professional ability	Marks Available	Score	Weighted	Notes	Score	Weighted	Notes	Score	Weighted	Notes		
	The Authority will use the scoring system shown above to evaluate the following project specific questions											
8.1a Compliance with Health & Safety Requirements Please confirm that you have read and understood the requirements outlined within the Health & Safety Document attached and the HPC - SHE Standards on the Authority's web site. By responding "Yes" You are specifically confirming that you have taken this into consideration when preparing your proposal and pricing and that you will take full responsibility for the same. 8.1b North Tyneside Council requires the Contractor to liaise and consult with customers throughout the duration of the demolition programme, including asbestos, and to treat all residents with respect when working in the vicinity of occupied properties. Providers are required to provide details of: •Your established processes for consultation with tenants, businesses and private homeowners and how they will be implemented. Your response should cover communications from the initial order to hand over. •Procedures for dealing with complaints and claims for damage including copies of supporting documentation. •Your proposed programme showing all key activities from initial order to completion in accordance with the prescribed strip out methodology; please include narrative on the critical programme path of soft strip, non-licensed and licenced asbestos activity sequencing in addition to general demolition activities. MAXIMUM 1000 WORD COUNT PLUS PROGRAMME (any narrative regarding the Programme must be included in the 1000 limit word count)		Pass/Fail	This is a Pass/Fail question. By answering 'Yes' to this question you will pass. By answering 'No' you will fail and your bid will not be considered further.									
		Pass			Pass			Pass				
		5.00%	10	5	2.50%	An overall satisfactory response which well set out. The response is generic and includes covering each aspect of the question. Identifying early engagement with the Tenants via early Contractor Involvement (ECI) and the processes to support. Liaising with tenants via letter drops, holding weekly progress meetings, ensuring noise, dust and vibrations reductions will all be addressed. Ensure they leave the site clean and tidy each day. Identifying having the Authority and stakeholder engagement, displaying clear signage. Dealing with complaints and using a customer care logging system. Identifying working within close proximity of local business is also a good point and including some site specific points in relation to the locality are good. Word count 997.	2	1.00%	Failed to address the question although a good point is identifying having a tenant liaison officer and providing letter drops. The response exceed the 1000 maximum word count. There is approximately 253 words within the Standard Selection Questionnaire (SSQ) box and the remaining words are taken from the appendices up and including document P007 - Customer Satisfaction and Complaints which has a total of approximately 1100 words before the remaining documents are included. As stated in the SSQ, question 8 which was also clarified in message 2.1.1, (Any appendices* to the Questionnaire must be clearly cross referenced within the text box below and MUST NOT exceed the word count provided for each question or they will not be considered. Submissions will be evaluated according to the information provided. If the response is not completed Authority reserves the right to score the response as zero)	8	4.00%	An overall good and specific response including detail around; mobilisation; communications to tenants and neighbouring residents such as letter drops; having all the control measures in place, identifying a named Supervisor for contact arrangements and in particular having early engagement with NEDL in relation to the sub-station. Also identifying the required Highways Section 171 for arranging a temporary footpath closure. Having accreditations ISO45001 and 9001 are all good. Included a programme which provides background monitoring and using third party verification as a guideline. Confirming RAM and the Project documents to be provided to NTC within timely manner via Shine, as a requirement of the Specification. 749 Words.
8.1c North Tyneside Council is committed to the achievement of the highest possible standards of health and safety for our Customers. •Please detail your approach to the demolition works, specific to this location and risks as identified including the immediate surrounding area, at a pre-commencement planning stage. •Please detail your commitment to the principles of the NFDC. •What will be the safety structure regarding the hierarchy of risk on site and who will be directly responsible to the NTC management, including the management of working around live services and substation? •What are the perceived environmental impacts on your approach to this project, including impacts of asbestos, demolition arisings and existing services? MAXIMUM 1000 WORD - ANY APPENDICES ARE TO BE INCLUDED IN THE WORD COUNT		5.00%	10	5	2.50%	An overall well set out and satisfactory response including some very generic elements, although covering each of one without being site, approach or utilising the site location/area for a more specific response. The goals are also very generic and not site specific. Good identifying the sub-station and sewers although lacking more specific detail around the environmental impact around the site/area. Good having identified some specific points in relation to locality. 999 Words.	2	1.00%	The response failed to address the question fully. The Work techniques are not detailed enough. The response exceeded the 1000 word count as stated in the SSQ (see 8.1b) and included too many appendices for the reasons identified. The information the Authority took from the 1000 word count included the response from within SSQ 8.1c box and provided 195 words and together with the documents up to the 2nd document 'Demolition Environmental Aspects & Impacts Scunthorpe - Example' which has in excess of 2500 words alone. The appendices included having various memberships and accreditations, however, some items could have been bullet pointed to reduce the word count.	10	5.00%	An overall excellent response very specific and providing excellent overall detail including identifying the Sub station and having specific RAMS including provision for COVID 19 which are all good. Having the leadership site operational procedures in line with Authority. Identifying all licensed ACMs will be carefully removed within purpose-built enclosures, with negative pressure, three stage airlock and bag lock with the monitoring arrangements in place and dilapidation surveys have been identified. Addressing dividing the building and areas for any issues with access and egress points which have been identified. Extremely detailed NFC audits which include having some unannounced. Good the response has identified the trees for removal Plan provided which is well detailed including the construction of the building. Used only the first 1000 Words as word count is 1021 including the three lines under the photograph plan.

Page 2 of 2														
8.1d Please provide details of how your organisation will provide licensable and non-licensable works required by North Tyneside Council. Please include details of: •The bidding organisations or their sub-contractors Asbestos Removal Liscence number •Contract mobilisation •Safe site establishment as a sub-contractor to NTC •Overseeing works in and around live buildings and services •Equipment and maintenance •Your strategy for self-monitoring and auditing licensable and non-licensable asbestos containing material work activities in public and private dwellings. •Safe site completion and handover •Continued compliance with industry practice and updated legislation MAXIMUM 1000 WORD - ANY APPENDICES ARE TO BE INCLUDED IN THE WORD COUNT				10.00%	10	5	5.00%	An overall satisfactory and well set out response, however, generic. Good they have provided their Asbestos licence number and addressed contract mobilisation with a brief description which includes all the contractual requirements to enable a start on site. An overall generic response in relation to demolition and construction activity. Good the location of the welfare will be segregated, however, it would have been useful to identify where. Identifying the site constraints and locations of traffic routes as well as welfare would have been advantageous. 996 Words.	2	2.00%	We were unable to identify a licence numbers due to the appendices exceeding the word count. The response failed to address the question fully. The Work techniques are not detailed enough. The response exceeded the 1000 word count as stated in the SSQ (see 8.1b) and included too many appendices for the reasons identified. The information the Authority took from the 1000 word count included from the response from within SSQ 8.1d box and provided 317 words and together with the documents up to the 4th document 'Greenfield Insurance' which has in excess of 2500 words alone. Some of the appendices which are included for the response to this question which include various memberships, insurances and accreditations could have been bullet pointed to reduce the word count and not all the information is required at this stage.	8	8.00%	An overall good and detailed response providing the licence number, having pre inspections as part of contract mobilisation enabling a better understanding of site and using a pre-questionnaire. Having site specific RAMS and risk assessments, identifies the requirements of Shine for the Authority. Good site establishment using existing metal railings and any additional fencing, transit routes mentioned without the location identified which would have been advantages. Good detail in relation to waste, transit routes and traffic management plan, having routine inspections of all equipment which include six monthly and yearly as well as the use of only approved hire suppliers for hire equipment. Having an asbestos file for daily equipment checks, strategy for self monitoring including being members of ARCA and NFDC. Directors/Mangers provide site inspections. Safe site recognition handover utilised. Information all transferred to Shine as a requirement for the Authority. 948 Words
8.1e Please define your approach to identifying site specific risks and ensuring compliance with NTC control measures and in line with the tender pricing schedule for asbestos removal works as described. Including safe systems of work identified and implemented, particularly for complex projects including but not limited to: - •Asbestos Insulation Board Debris throughout the building •Working within confined spaces •Working at height •Working around live services and structural instability And outline details of your training programme for managers and employees to ensure that they have adequate knowledge to identify, assess and develop safe systems of work to identify and manage site specific risks and to ensure that works are carried out in accordance with legal requirements and recognised industry standards MAXIMUM 1000 WORD - ANY APPENDICES ARE TO BE INCLUDED IN THE WORD COUNT				10.00%	10	5	5.00%	A satisfactory and generic response which is well set out without being specific to the project and unclear from the onset how they intend to carry out the works in licenced/non licenced conditions. Failed to address mitigating risks and concentrates on working in confined spaces. Live service is not specific to the sub-station and/or addressing how they will keep the sub station in situ. The training programme provides a basic response although provides details of the qualifications and CSCS cards of relevant staff without providing an organogram. 865 Words.	2	2.00%	The response failed to address the majority of the questions and words exceed due to the appendices exceeding the word count .The response failed to address the question fully. The response exceeded the 1000 word count as stated in the SSQ (see 8.1b) and included too many appendices for the reasons identified. The information the Authority took from the 1000 word count included the response from within SSQ 8.1e box and provided 802 words and together with the documents up to the 1st document 'SCP09/06 Hot Work Permit ' which has in excess of 1300 words alone. Some of the appendices which are included for the response to this question which include various permits could have been bullet pointed to reduce the word count.	8	8.00%	An overall good and very detailed response including information provided in relation to the sub-station although at one point repeating the previous response and identifying Shine, utilities, live services. The response identifies NEDL, BT box and sewers and including use of demolition excavator/crane. Good having identified the cable for live services. Addressing all vehicle movements with controlling being in put in place, applying for the highways Section 1 notice. The response is, however, lacking providing the specific detail of dealing with these and demolishing of the building. 995 Words
Overall Quality % Score				30.00%	15.00%			6.00%			25.00%			
					Supplier 1			Supplier 2			Supplier 3			
					Total Cost	Weighted		Total Cost	Weighted		Total Cost	Weighted		
Total Cost (Automatically pro-rata's from lowest price)				70.00%	£455,600.00	£475,999.00	67.00%	£455,600.00	70.00%		£504,925.00	63.16%		
Total Score (Quality + Cost)				100.00%	100 Percent	Total Score			Total Score			Total Score		
					82.00%			76.00%			88.16%			
Scoring Part 3					RANKING			RANKING			RANKING			
					Criteria Met			Criteria Met			Criteria Met			
					Notes			Notes			Notes			
Questions (As defined in Method Statement)					Delete as appropriate			Delete as appropriate			Delete as appropriate			
Part 3 Section 8.2 - Insurance					Bidders will confirm they have or will obtain the relevant insurances to pass. Copies of insurance certificates will only be requested from the successful tenderer(s).									
Pass/Fail					Pass			Pass			Pass			
Signed		Date												
Signed		Date												
Signed		Date												
Document Number P-001-001-P5gNTC Issue No:1 Page 1 of 3														