## Change Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA)

1. Proposal details						
Name of the	Participation in Northumbria Road Safety					
policy/project/process being	Partnership					
assessed (subsequently						
referred to as project)						
Purpose of project	To participate in a prop	osed partnership with the				
	other Tyne and Wear Io	cal authorities,				
	Northumberland Count	y Council and Northumbria				
	Police, which would wo	k to help reduce road				
	deaths and casualties	and road-related anti-social				
	behaviour and promote	e road safety on the roads of				
	the Northumbria Police	geographical area.				
Who is the project intended	All road users					
to benefit?						
What outcomes should be	Improved road safety and reduced road-related					
achieved?	anti-social behaviour					
Version of EqIA	1.1					
Date this version created	21/08/2024					
Confidential	no					
Directorate	Regeneration and Econ	omic Development				
Service	Highways and Transportation					
	Name Service or organisation					
Principal author	John Cram Highways and					
	Transportation					
Additional authors	Andrew Flynn Highways and					
		Transportation				

2. Groups impacted				
Does the project		If yes, what is the estimated number impacted and the level		
impact upon?		of impact this will have on the group (high, medium, low)?		
Service users	no			
Carers or family	no			
of service users				

Residents	yes	Around 50,000 residents may be regular users of roads which
		benefit to some extent from improved safety and/or reduced
		road-related anti-social behaviour (low impact)
Visitors	yes	Around 25,000 visitors per year may be users of roads which
		benefit to some extent from improved safety and/or reduced
		road-related anti-social behaviour (low impact)
Staff	no	
Partner	yes	It is anticipated that six partner organisations will be involved
organisations		(the other four Tyne and Wear local authorities,
		Northumberland County Council and Northumbria Police),
		which will further develop pre-existing arrangements for
		constructive partnership working (low impact)

3. Evidence gathering and engagement				
	Internal evidence	External evidence		
What evidence has been	Relevant objectives of	Relevant legislation and		
used for this assessment?	the Authority, e.g. for	guidance, primarily the		
	Council wardens to work	Road Traffic Act 1988, the		
	in partnership with	<u>Traffic Management Act</u>		
	Northumbria Police to	2004 and the guidance		
	prevent and tackle anti-	notes 'Charging for		
	social behaviour ( <u>Our</u>	driver re-training		
	North Tyneside Plan);	courses' within a Home		
	improve safety for all	Office <u>policy paper</u>		
	road users, address road	updated in August 2022.		
	safety concerns and			
	reduce casualties while			
	increasing cycling and			
	walking, through design,			
	promotional and			
	partnership working			
	(North Tyneside			
	<u>Transport Strategy</u> ); and			
	improve co-ordination			
	with delivery partners to			
	increase opportunities to			
	deliver travel safety			
	improvements			
	effectively (North			
	Tyneside Travel Safety			
	Strategy)			

	Internal information relating to strategy and operation of the existing Northumbria Safer Roads Initiative (NSRI)			
Have you carried out any	no			
engagement in relation to				
this proposal?				
If yes of what kind and with whom? If no, why not?	The partnership involves joint working between local authorities, the Police and the Northumbria Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) and has not involved community engagement. Under relevant Government advice it is considered best practice for local highway authorities to be involved in a road safety partnership.			
Is there any information	no			
you don't have?				
If yes, why is this				
information not available?				

4. Impact on groups	4. Impact on groups with different characteristics					
Legally protected characteristics	Potential positive impact identified	Potential negative impact identified	Description of the potential impact and evidence used in the assessment (mitigations are not included here)			
Age	yes	no	There is a potential positive impact for people whose mobility may be affected by age, from the partnership's work to improve road safety and reduce road-related antisocial behaviour.			
Disability	yes	no	There is a potential positive impact for people whose mobility may be affected by a disability (e.g. a physical disability or being blind or partially sighted), from the partnership's work to improve road safety and reduce road-related anti-social behaviour.			

Gender	no	no	
reassignment	110	110	
Marriage & civil	no	no	
partnership			
Pregnancy & maternity  Race	yes	no	There is a potential positive impact for people whose mobility may be affected by pregnancy and maternity, from the partnership's work to improve road safety and reduce road-related anti-social behaviour.  There is a potential positive impact
			associated with this protected characteristic, for people who may be more vulnerable to road dangers for reasons of e.g. being less able to read road signs or less familiar with road traffic conventions, from the partnership's work to improve road safety and reduce road-related anti-social behaviour.
Religion or belief	no	no	
Sex	no	no	
Sexual orientation	no	no	
Intersectionality	no	no	
Non-legally protect	ed characterist	ics	
Carers	no	no	
Socio-economic disadvantage	yes	no	There is a potential positive impact associated with this protected characteristic, for people who may more often be a pedestrian rather than using motorised modes and hence more vulnerable to other road users' behaviour, from the partnership's work to improve road safety and reduce road-related anti-social behaviour.

5. Achievement of the Authority's public sector equality duty				
Will the proposal contribute If yes, how?				
to any of the following?				
Eliminate unlawful	no			
discrimination,				

victimisation and harassment		
Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not	yes	The partnership's work to improve road safety and reduce road-related anti-social behaviour may assist in advancing equality of opportunity for people who may have more limited mobility or be vulnerable road users for reasons relating to the protected characteristics of age, disability, pregnancy and maternity, and race.
Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not	no	

6. Negative impacts					
Potential negative impact	Can it be reduced or removed?	If yes how? If no, why not and what alternative options were considered and not pursued?			
-	-	-			

7. Action plan	7. Action plan					
Actions to gather evidence or information to improve NTC's understanding of the potential impacts on people with protected characteristics and how best to respond to them	Responsible officer name	Responsible officer service area	Target completion date	Action completed		
Review reports and monitoring provided to meetings of the partnership steering group for relevant evidence of the potential impacts on people with protected characteristics	Andrew Flynn	Highways and Transportation	30/09/2027	no		

Actions already in place	Responsible	Responsible		Impact	Impact	
to remove or reduce	officer name			r service		
potential negative		area				
impacts						
Not applicable	•		•			
Actions that will be	Responsible	Respo		Impact	Target	Action
taken to remove or	officer name	office	e area		completion	completed
reduce potential		servic	e area		date	
negative impacts						
Not applicable						
Actions that will be	Responsible	_	nsible o	fficer	Target	Action
taken to make the most	officer name	servic	e area		completion	completed
of any potential positive					date	
impact						
Include details of positive	Andrew	Highw	ays an	d	30/09/2027	no
impacts identified by the	Flynn	Transportation				
partnership in relevant						
communications or						
reports						
Actions that will be	Responsible	_	nsible o	fficer	Target	Action
taken to monitor the	officer name	servic	e area		completion	completed
equality impact of this					date	
proposal once it is						
implemented						
Review reports and	Andrew	Highw	/ays an	d	30/09/2027	no
monitoring provided to	Flynn	Trans	portatio	on		
meetings of the						
partnership steering						
group for relevant						
evidence of the potential						
impacts on people with						
protected characteristics						
Date review of EqIA to be	Responsible	Responsible officer service area				
completed	officer name	•				
30/09/2027	Andrew	Highways and Transportation				
	Flynn					

8. Outcome of EqIA	
Outcome	Please explain and evidence why you have reached
	this conclusion:
The proposal is robust, no major	Identified potential impacts are positive.
change is required	

9. Corporate Equality Group member approval	
Do you agree or	Agree
disagree with this	
assessment?	
If disagree, please	
explain why?	
Name of Corporate	Melissa Lackenby
<b>Equality Group Member</b>	
Date	21/08/2024

10. Director/ Head of Service approval	
Do you agree or disagree	Agree
with this assessment?	
If disagree, please explain	
why?	
Name of Director/Head of	John Sparkes
Service	
Date	22/08/2024

Please return the document to the Author and Corporate Equality Group member.