Record of Delegated Executive Decision(s)

1	. (Sι	ıb	ie	ct	of	de	cis	sio	n
---	-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----	---

Future Construction Options – ICT procurement

2. Name and Title of Decision Maker

Head of Environment Housing and Leisure

3. Details of any Conflict of Interest and any Dispensation granted in respect of such Interest

n/a

4. Executive summary

To seek approval for the award of contract for the Housing Information Technology System. At its meeting of 27th September 2017 Cabinet delegated authority to the Deputy Chief Executive, Head of Environment Housing and Leisure, Head of Commissioning and Investment, Head of Finance and Head of Law and Governance, in consultation with the Deputy Mayor, the Cabinet Member for Finance and Resources and Cabinet Member for Housing and Transport conclude any arrangements required to implement the recommendation to bring the housing, repairs and maintenance service in-house.

5. Alternative Options considered

This would make it necessary for the Authority to begin a new procurement process and explain to bidders in the current process the reasons why the contract was not awarded. It would be difficult for the Authority to carry out another procurement exercise in the time available to it before the end of the Joint Venture with Kier North Tyneside on 31 March 2019. The value of the procurement means that a new contract could not be awarded without a European compliant procurement process. 6. Decision(s) The contract is awarded to Bidder 4 as identified in the Evaluation Summary attached to the report as the bidder which provided the most economically advantageous tender. 7. Reasons for the Decision(s) This bid is the most economically advantageous to the Authority, based on the specification and the scoring methodology under the Framework. 8. Date Decision Made	To keep existing systems
The contract is awarded to Bidder 4 as identified in the Evaluation Summary attached to the report as the bidder which provided the most economically advantageous tender. 7. Reasons for the Decision(s) This bid is the most economically advantageous to the Authority, based on the specification and the scoring methodology under the Framework. 8. Date Decision Made	and explain to bidders in the current process the reasons why the contract was not awarded. It would be difficult for the Authority to carry out another procurement exercise in the time available to it before the end of the Joint Venture with Kier North Tyneside on 31 March 2019. The value of the procurement means that a new contract could not be awarded without a European compliant procurement
The contract is awarded to Bidder 4 as identified in the Evaluation Summary attached to the report as the bidder which provided the most economically advantageous tender. 7. Reasons for the Decision(s) This bid is the most economically advantageous to the Authority, based on the specification and the scoring methodology under the Framework. 8. Date Decision Made	
7. Reasons for the Decision(s) This bid is the most economically advantageous to the Authority, based on the specification and the scoring methodology under the Framework. 8. Date Decision Made	6. Decision(s)
This bid is the most economically advantageous to the Authority, based on the specification and the scoring methodology under the Framework. 8. Date Decision Made	attached to the report as the bidder which provided the most economically
This bid is the most economically advantageous to the Authority, based on the specification and the scoring methodology under the Framework. 8. Date Decision Made	7 Pageons for the Decision(s)
specification and the scoring methodology under the Framework. 8. Date Decision Made	
	9 Data Dagisian Mada

Yes, the call-in period expires at 5.00pm on Thursday 28 June 2018
10. Date of Publication
21 June 2018
11. Implementation Date (if decision not called in)
After 5.00pm on 28 June 2018

9. Is this decision subject to call-in and if so expiry date of call-in period