Project Title:  NEPO Portal Project Quality Weighting: Project Price Weighting:	CONTRACT 42 - RESPONSIVE REPAIRS AND PLANNED PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCECONTRACT FOR OPERATIONAL, SCHOOLS AND COMMERCIAL BUILDI ROOFING NEPO Portal Ref DN:	
10 Scoring System (Units of 10 if required)	Scoring Context	Pricing Evaluation
0 = Unacceptable/failed to address	No response or extremely limited response	The Supplier with the lowest price will be awarded the highest score available, all other Suppliers will be scored using the following method:
2 = Reservations	Response is limited and fails to provide a significant proportion of relevant information , is unworkable and/or inconsistent. Shows limited understanding of, and/or inappropriate approach to the matter in question.	(Lowest Submitted Bid / Suppliers Submitted Bid) × Total Score Available = Total Score 500 / 750 * 30 = 20
S = Satisfactory	A broad response with an adequate level of information provided that is relevant to the question. Shows reasonable understanding of, and/or acceptable approach to the matters in question	For example the total weighting for a price evaluation is 70%. The lowest submitted Total Figure is £500 and the Supplier submits a Total Figure of £750.  The Supplier will receive accore of 70.  If a supplier submits a price of £1000 they will get a score of 15.
8 = Good	Good level of detailed information provided that is particularly relevant to the question. The response demonstrates a good understanding of and a good approach to the matters in question.	
10 = Excellent	Exemplary response. Comprehensive level of information provided that is relevant. The Bidder could not be expected to answer the question more comprehensively or appropriately.	

S = Satisfactory		nows limited understanding of, and/or inappr the matter in question. te level of information provided that is releva			500 / 750 * 30 = 20  For example the total weighting for a price evaluation is 70%. The lowest submitted Total Figure is £500 and the Supplier subm	uite a Total Eigus	re of 6750	
,	Shows reasonable understandin	ng of, and/or acceptable approach to the mat	tters in question		For example the total weighting for a price evaluation is 70%. The lowest submittee total regure is 2500 and the supplier suom.  The Supplier will receive a score of 20.  If a supplier submits a price of £1000 they will get a score of 15.	its a Total Figu	re or £750.	
8 = Good	response demonstrates a good un	ion provided that is particularly relevant to the derstanding of and a good approach to the m	natters in question.					
10 = Excellent		re level of information provided that is relevant the question more comprehensively or app						
Scoring Matrix Tenderer Number Section 81 - Additional Questions					Supplier 1			Supplier 2
Section 8.1 - Project specific questions to assess technical and professional ability	The Authority will use the socion sy	Marks Available	Score	Weighte	d Notes	Score	Weighted	Notes
		pecific questions						
8.1a Compliance with Health & Safety Requirements Please confirm that you have read and understood the	Pass/Fail	This is a Pass/Fail question. By answering 'Yes' to this question you						
requirements outlined within Appendix 3 Health & Safety Documents. By responding "Yes" You are specifically confirming that you have taken this into consideration when preparing your proposal and pricing and that you will take full		will pass. By answering 'No' you will fail and your bid will not be considered further.	Pass			Par	is	
Ealth Project Delivery ASSAIR Resource: How will you ensure adequate resources are available to the projects throughout the year? *Set out who the key individuals will be to deliver this contract, including bye personnel, roles and responsibilities together with proposed project management structure of this project. The proposed resources that like allocated in order to meet the requirements of the programme for both pained and reschied words. Tenders are also required to provide deaths of their proposed teams and any additional capacity available including expertise, qualifications, training, profession/trade and responsibilities. Your response should also highlight how the teams will be organized and managed, including interfaces with subcontractors. This must be provided within the word count given please do not append UK.  Diffacining the words:  **Lased on the Scope of Works/case study relevant to this contract please default your approach to programming and	10.00%	10	5	5.00%	Overal a satisfactory response including providing for the following - Staff Resource adequate resources are available to the projects throughout the year? * key individuals, including key personnel, roles and responsibilities together with proposed project management structure of this project. Provided the key individuals listed with qualifications and responsibilities although limited to providing high-level qualifications. An Organizarium and Structure chat were including a better and the project of the project. * betain of the project of consorting, proposed teams and any additional capacity available including expertise, * betain of the project of consorting, proposed teams and any additional capacity available including expertise, * betain of the project of consorting, project of the	5	5.00%	Overall a satisfactory response including providing for the following - Staff Resource  Staff Resource  Now will you ensure adequate resources are available to the projects throughout the year?  * by Individuals, including key personnel, roles and responsibilities together with proposed project management structure of this project.  Provided a list of key personnel with outlining their roles and responsibilities stimough covering all requirements.  * Details of the proposed resources, proposed teams and any additional capacity available including requirements.  * Details of the proposed resources, proposed teams and any additional capacity available including regardined and managed and for face with a absorbing and any additional capacity available including regardined and managed and for face with a absorbing and the structure responsibilities, however the qualifications were intend and only say they "can accommodate additional scopes" with no detail of how.  Plasning the works:  **Resident of the Stopp of Works/cass study relevant to this contract please detail your approach to programming and scheduling these planned / repair works, including working during school holiday periods, perceived works and plan which is based on specific requirements using suitable resources including having the segerations and incomenge of a particular system.  Review works and plan which is based on specific requirements using suitable resources including having the segerations and incomenge of a particular system.
scheduling these planned / repair works, including working during school holiday periods, peak times and dealing with variations.  Al. Customer Service  Our horst Tyneside Plan is to listen to our customers and stakeholders and extraming that the Council works better for them. We are always striving to achieve a higher level of Service Standards.  You should include the following in your response: "Vou approach to customers with a special your approach to Customer Working Service Standards." Vous should include the following in your responses should entail the control of the standards of the st	5.00%	10	5	2.50%	identified they will monitoring daily to mitigate any sipage, hiving 10% space expactly, can work additional working hours, when they multisated staff on startoly, build an a contingency into the program, availability of staff from sixter company a swell as using accernate research to monitor.  Overall a satisfactory response providing the following for;  were approach to crustopne servicing the following for;  Your approach to ensuring customer satisfaction and to managing outsomer expectations. The response should estail those receiving the works such as building attributed in the entire service states of the service of the service service states of the service service service states of the service servic	5		Coerall a satisfactory response providing the following for;  Please detail your approach to Customer Service Standards, your response should:  ** Your approach to customer Service Standards, your response should:  ** Your approach to consiring customer satisfaction and to managing customers' expectations. The response should deal those receiving the works such as building staff, building users, neighbouring prosperies, the public and any other who will be affected by Words.  After identifing the requirements, who will be effected by word, they will liken to concerns, address their needs content and introduce control measures along with communicating to all stakeholders. Carry out dialy vists and inform all of any relevant changes or works hazards in advance and provide firebuility.  ** Isonary instruction are anothered in live with continuers' expectations.  ** Isonary progress sydiest and feedback will be provided to the client.  Carry out weekly informal molitoring meeting and weekly progress report  ** Your complaints process and who in your organisation would deal with any dissatisfied customers. Please includes a brief worked earniple of the sizes and the resolution process followed Provided an example, however, this was not a comprehensive process with time scales, investigation and escalation.
All Value for Money  Please detail how North Yneside Council could directly benefit in relation to this specific contract from innovations, efficiencies and value for money practices that you could introduce, which will achieve additional benefits and savings for the Authority in terms of resources, this thread council financial reductions. Please include in your response an example of such an improvement that you have already delivered and the outcome.  Your should also consider the impact of Brexit, and your management of it, within your response.  Maximum response of 250 words.	5.00%	10	5	2.50%	Overall a satisfactory response including providing for the following - Value for Money Will Duk Buy materials, have use of their sister company who can supply scaffolds and consolidate deliveries to reduce travel. For Breat use U.K. Suppliers, Bulk Buying materials along with reordering well in advance.  The response focused on efficiencies rather than innovations.	5		Overall a satisfactory response including providing for the following - Value for Money  Whose you have a set of the second of the second of the second of the work of the second of the work of the second of the s
8.1e Health 8. Safety-Risk management measures Discrible your own internal fills in management measures. Discrible your own internal fills in management measures, in line with the current guidelines from 1616. Government, ensuring safe working practices are being demonstrated wholt working nor a round the premises and public spaces? You should include how you ensure that your organisation is up to date with legislative change. Reference any relevant accreditations and qualifications in equivalent which is a described requirement. Detail what you see as typical health, safety and wetter risks and how you will manage these at a parcial level on site. Provide your lessons learnt procedures for sharing good working practices, improvements and failings. Maximum response of 300 words.	5.00%	10	8	4.00%	Overal B good response including providing for the following - Mealth & Safety-Misk management measures: Describe your own internal Bisk management measures; his fine with the current guidelines from HSI & Government, ensuring safe working practices are being identifications; his provided in the provided pr	5	2.50%	Overall a satisfactory response including providing for the following:  Meath & Safety, Risk management measures, to like with the current guidelines from HSE & Government, memory and eventually a set of the providing for a round live of the common set of the comm
Overall a good response including providing for the following -	5.00%	10	5	2.50%	Overall a statisfactory response including providing for the following  50cial Value statisfactory response including providing for the following  50cial Value statisfactory response including statisfactory stati	5	2.50%	Overall a satisfactory response including providing for the following in line with North Typieside Fish are nemath the Authority strives to ensure North Typieside is a Great place to line, with North Typieside Fish and the Strip of the S
					Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements. Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.			effectiveness of the improvements. Included Waste management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vans.
	Overall Quality % Score	30.00%			Provide supporting performance vidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  16.30%  Supplier 1			included Waste management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no
Total Cost (Automatically oro-rata's from lowest crice)	70.00%	30.00%	Total Cost	Weighte 70.00%	Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  18.50%  Supplier 1  d  Total Score	Total Cost	Weighted 29.14%	included Water management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which (60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2021. Currently no electric vans.  13.00%  Supplier 2  Total Score
Total Cost (Automatically oro-rata's from lowest orice) Total Score (Quality + Cost) Bernard Banking	70.00%	30.00%	RANKING	70.00%	Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  18.50%  Supplier 1  G  Total Score  86.50%		29.14%	included Water management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which (60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vans.  13.00%  Supplier 2  Gold Score 44.16%
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  Grant Final Ranking  Questions (As defined in Method Statement)	70.00%	100 Percent  Evaluation Comments		70.00% et	Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  18.50%  Supplier 1  d  Total Score	Total Cost  Criteria  Delete as ap	29.14% a Met	included Waste management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which (60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2021. Currently no electric vain.  11.00%  Supplier 2  Total Score
Total Score (Quality + Cost) toning Part 3 Final Ranking	70.00%	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of	RANKING Criteria Me	70.00% et	Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  18.59%  Supplier 1  B  Intilistory 86.50%  1  Notes	Criteri	29.14%  a Met  apropriate	included Waste management and providing substantiation by having 55% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vains.  18.00%  Supplier 2  Total Score  44.10%  2  Notes
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  [instead Fanal Final Ranking  Questions (As defined in Method Statement)  Part 1	70.00% 100.00% Criteria or Section %	Evaluation Comments  For information only	RANKING Criteria Me Delete as appro	70.00% et	Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  18.59%  Supplier 1  B  Intilistory 86.50%  1  Notes	Criteri Delete as ap	29.14% a Met apropriate	included Waste management and providing substantiation by having 55% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vains.  18.00%  Supplier 2  Total Score  44.10%  2  Notes
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  Uniter Parts  Final Ranking  Questions (As defined in Method Statement)  Part 1  Potential Supplier Information  Part 2  Section 2 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion	70.00%  100.00%  Criteria or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the region to floquely his organisation from the process at the joined in the evaluation  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the statements, the Authority reserves the	RANKING Criteria Me Delete as appro	70.00% et	Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  18.59%  Supplier 1  B  Intilistory 86.50%  1  Notes	Criteria Delete as ap Ye	29.14% s Met apropriate 5	included Waste management and providing substantiation by having 55% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vains.  18.00%  Supplier 2  Total Score  44.10%  2  Notes
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  times forts    Final Ranking   Questions (As defined in Method Statement)   Part 1   Potential Supplier information   Part 2   Section 2 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion	70.00% 100.00% Criteria or Section % Yes/No	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation, cannot confirm any of the process at this point in the organisation the process at this point in the organisation the process at this point in the evaluation.	RANKING Criteria Mc Delete as appro Yes Pass	70.00% et	Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  18.59%  Supplier 1  B  Intilistory 86.50%  1  Notes	Criteria Delete as ap Ye	29.14% s Met apropriate 5	included Water management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vain.  18.00% Supplier 2  Total Score 41.10%  2  Notes
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  Uniter Parts  Final Ranking  Questions (As defined in Method Statement)  Part 1  Potential Supplier Information  Part 2  Section 2 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion	70.00%  100.00%  Criteria or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the eight to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the eight to disqually the organisation from the statements, the Authority reserves the eight to disqually the organisation from the process of the comments of the organisation from the process of the organisation from the organisation fro	RANKING Criteria Mc Delete as appro Yes Pass	70.00% et	Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  18.59%  Supplier 1  B  Intilistory 86.50%  1  Notes	Criteria Delete as ap Ye	29.14%  Met  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S	included Waste management and providing substantiation by having 55% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vains.  18.00%  Supplier 2  Total Score  44.10%  2  Notes
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  time rans  Final Ranking  Questions (As defined in Method Statement)  Part 1  Potential Supplier information  Part 2  Section 2 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion  Part 2  Section 3 - Grounds for discretionary exclusion	70.00%  100.00%  Criteria or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organization comot confirm any of the statements, the Author's reserves the right to disqually the organization from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organization cannot confirm any of the statements, the Author's reserves the right to disqually the organization from the process at the point in the evaluation.  If an organization cannot confirm any of the statements, the Author's reserves the sight to disqually the organization from the process at the point in the evaluation.  Budders understate a salf-assessment usuals.  Budders understate a salf-assessment usuals.	RANKING Criteria Me Delete as appro Yes Pass Pass	70.00% et	Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  18.59%  Supplier 1  B  Intilistory 86.50%  1  Notes	Criterix Delete as aj Ye Paé	29.14%  Met  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S  S	included Water management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vain.  18.00% Supplier 2  Total Score 41.10%  2  Notes
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  time rans  Final Ranking  Questions (As defined in Method Statement)  Part 1  Potential Supplier information  Part 2  Section 2 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion  Part 2  Section 3 - Grounds for discretionary exclusion	70.00%  100.00%  Criteria or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the termination cannot confirm any of the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm may of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  Confirmation or the confirmation of the confirmation of the the process at the point in the evaluation.  Confirmation cannot confirm any of the process at the point in the evaluation.  Confirmation cannot confirm the confirmation of the process and the process are point in the evaluation.  Confirmation cannot confirm the confirmation of the confirmation cannot confirm the confirmation of the confirmation cannot confirm the confirmation cannot	RANKING Criteria Me Delete as appro Yes Pass Pass	70.00% et	Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  18.59%  Supplier 1  B  Intilistory 86.50%  1  Notes	Criterix Delete as aj Ye Paé	29.14% s Met s Met s ss ss	included Water management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle uporthased and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vains.  18.00% Supplier 2  Total Score 44.14%  2 Notes
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  times for 1  Flant Branking  Questions (As defined in Method Statement)  Part 1  Potential Supplier information  Part 2  Section 3 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion  Section 3 - Grounds for discretionary exclusion  Part 3  Section 4 - Economic and Financial Standing	70.00%  100.00%  Criteria or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disquasity the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disquasity the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the the process at this point in the evaluation.  Budders understate a self-assessment using the funnical appraisal model provided.  The Authority will only validate the arthropisms of the process of the process of the process of this point in the evaluation.  The Authority will only validate the arthropisms of the process of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the	RANKONOMO CITARIA MA CONTRACTOR DE PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PAS	70.00% et	Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  18.59%  Supplier 1  B  Intilistory 86.50%  1  Notes	Criter os oy Yee Pas	29.14% s Met s Met s ss ss	included Waste management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vains.  11.00%  Supplier 2  Total Score 44.10%  2  Notes  Enter Additional Info (§ required)
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  Jimes Part 3  Questions (As defined in Method Statement)  Part 1  Potential Supplier Information  Part 2  Section 2 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion  Part 2  Section 3 - Grounds for discretionary exclusion  Part 3  Section 4 - Economic and Financial Standing  Part 3  Section 5 - Wider Group Financial Information	70.00%  100.00%  Criteria or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail quality threshold applicable to some questions and scored assessment	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disquasity the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disquasity the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the the process at this point in the evaluation.  Budders understate a self-assessment using the funnical appraisal model provided.  The Authority will only validate the arthropisms of the process of the process of the process of this point in the evaluation.  The Authority will only validate the arthropisms of the process of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the process of the process of the tenderstate of the	RANKONOMO CITARIA MA CONTRACTOR DE PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PASS PAS	70.00% et	Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  18.59%  Supplier 1  B  Intilistory 86.50%  1  Notes	Criter os oy Yee Pas	29.14% s Met s Met s ss ss	included Waste management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vains.  11.00%  Supplier 2  Total Score 44.10%  2  Notes  Enter Additional Info (§ required)
Total Score (Quality + Cost)    Content	70.00%  Total or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail self Assessment  Constitution on quantities and some quantities	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the thomas of the process of the process of the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the eight to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  Be an organisation of the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation the formation submitted by the successful the Authority and only validate the information submitted by the successful the formation submitted by the successful the formation of the process of the process and the process of the process of the process and the process of the process of the process and the process of the process of the process of the process and the process of the pro	RANKONG Criteria Mel Delete as appropriate Pass Pass Pass Pass	70.00% et	Provide supporting performance evidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvements.  Will be reducing emissions with more efficient vehicles and recycle to reduce waste going to landfill.  18.59%  Supplier 1  B  Intilistory 86.50%  1  Notes	Criter os oy Yee Pas	29.14% s Met s Met s ss ss	included Waste management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle purchased and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vains.  11.00%  Supplier 2  Total Score 44.10%  2  Notes  Enter Additional Info (§ required)
Total Score (Quality + Cost)    Total Score (Quality + Cost)	70.00%  Total or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail self Assessment  Constitution on quantities and some quantities	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the eight to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process of this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  Budders undertake a set Possessment using statements, the Authority the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  Budders undertake a set Possessment using statements, the Authority will only suitable the information submitted by the successful activities of the organisation of the organisation of the Authority will use the scroning system shown above to evaluate the following additional technical questions is 1 to 6.2  and the set of the organisation of which is a PAAS. and your organisation of which or invited to tender.	RANKONG Criteria Mel Delete as appropriate Pass Pass Pass Pass	70.00no	Provide supporting performance vidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvement. Will be reducing emissions with more efficient wheles and recycle to reduce waste going to landiff.  18.30%  Supplier 1  Italia Score  86.50%  I Motes  Roter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Overall a satisfactory response including. Nature of Works undertaken were PPM Program at a primary school, Location was within Northsumberland as a night project and Lower of the program of the	Citeries on one of the part of	20.10% Media	Installed Waster management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle proprietaed and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vains.  1.100%  1.100
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  Control Service (Quality + Cost)  Part 1  Part 2  Section 3 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion  Part 3  Section 3 - Grounds for discretionary exclusion  Part 3  Section 6 - Economic and Financial Standing  Part 3  Section 6 - Economic and Financial Standing  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Guestions  Control Section 6 - Control	70.00%  Criteria or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Applicable to some questions and scored assessment  Classific Assessment  Applicable to some questions and scored assessment  Classific Assessment applicable to considere with not be considered with not be considered with not be considered threshold will result in a FAIL are further or further or considered with the passes of the pass	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process of this point in the evaluation.  But organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  Budders undertake as a first statement of the the process at this point in the evaluation.  Budders undertake as a first statement of the the process at this point in the evaluation.  Budders undertake as a first statement of the the running that the cannot be received to the organisation submitted by the successful information su	Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass	70.00no	Provide a requirement covidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvement. Will be reducing emissions with more efficient wheles and recycle to reduce waste going to landiff.  18-39%.  Supplier 1  Intel® Score  86-50%.  I Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  N	Criteries on the Criteries of the Criter	29.10%  8 Met  5 15  5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Interest Pass/Fail from the Bidder(s) submission  Total Score 44.1-95  T
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  Control Supplier Information  Part 3  Section 2 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion  Part 3  Section 3 - Grounds for discretionary exclusion  Part 3  Section 4 - Economic and Financial Standing  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Score (Cost)  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  Control Score (Cost)  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  Control Score (Cost)  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  For Cost (Cost)  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  For Cost (Cost)  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  For Cost (Cost)  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  For Cost (Cost)  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  For Cost (Cost)  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  For Cost (Cost)  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  For Cost (Cost)  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Please provide a simple of the Month of Professional Ability  For Cost (Cost)  For Co	70.00%  Total or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail self Assessment  Constitution on quantities and source dissense	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the region of the Statements, the Authority reserves the reformation submitted by the successful the Authority will use the scoring system shown above the valuation to the region of the Statements of the Statements and the Statements of the Statements will be the scoring system shown above the valuation the solvey additional technical questions 6.1 to 6.2  and Statements are statements of the statem	Pass Pass Pass Score	70.00no	Provide supporting performance vidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvement. Will be reducing emissions with more efficient wheles and recycle to reduce waste going to landiff.  18.30%  Supplier 1  Italia Score  86.50%  I Motes  Roter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Overall a satisfactory response including. Nature of Works undertaken were PPM Program at a primary school, Location was within Northsumberland as a night project and Lower of the program of the	Citeries on one of the part of	29.10%  8 Met  5 15  5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Interest Waster and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle proprietate and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric value.  1 100%.  Supplier 2  Total Score 44.1-95  Rotes  Return Additional Info (if required)  Inter Additional Info (if required)  Enter Pass/Fail from the Bidder(s) submission  Enter Pass/Fail from the Bidder(s) submission  Enter Pass/Fail from the Bidder(s) submission  Notes
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  Winter brill  Final Ranking  Questions (As defined in Method Statement)  Part 1  Fortential Supplier Information  Part 2  Section 2 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion  Part 3  Section 3 - Grounds for discretionary exclusion  Part 3  Section 4 - Economic and Financial Standing  Part 3  Section 5 - Wider Group Financial Information  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Standing  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  Col. Care Study  Please provide a single case study to demonstrate your experience of delivering services unitar in scope and size to that detailed within the Specification Document relevant to that details within the Specification Document relevant to the delivery of the Information provided should be able to provide, if requested, written evidence to confirm the accuracy of the Information provided below. The Authority may veryly the reference provided, by contacting reference than the Authority relevant the right to discount the related case study.  Please resure that the client acting as refere has sight of the completed active study. Any case within the right to discount the related case study.  Please resure that the	70.00%  Criteria or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Applicable to some questions and scored assessment  Classific Assessment  Applicable to some questions and scored assessment  Classific Assessment applicable to considere with not be considered with not be considered with not be considered threshold will result in a FAIL are further or further or considered with the passes of the pass	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  Bidders understall against an evaluation.  Bidders understall against an evaluation of the process at this point in the evaluation.  Bidders understall against an evaluation of the process at this point in the script the process at this point in the script the process at this point in the script the statements and the script additional technical questions is 1 to 6.2  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority will use the statements and the script deferment and the script the statements and the s	Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass	70.00no	Provide supporting performance vidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvement. Will be reducing emissions with more efficient wheles and recycle to reduce waste going to landiff.  18.30%  Supplier 1  Italia Score  86.50%  I Motes  Roter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Overall a satisfactory response including. Nature of Works undertaken were PPM Program at a primary school, Location was within Northsumberland as a night project and Lower of the program of the	Criteries on the Criteries of the Criter	20.10%  8 Met  5 15  15  50.00%	Included Water management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle progression of the provided and overview of their services rather than specific case subject to the provided and overview of their services rather than specific case study, although referenced the vehicle provided and overview of their services rather than specific case study, although referenced the vehicle provided and overview of their services rather than specific case study, although referenced the vehicle provided and overview of their services rather than specific case study, although referenced the vehicle provided and overview of their services rather than specific case study, although referenced the vehicle provided and overview of their services rather than specific case study, although referenced the vehicle provided and overview of their services rather than specific case study, although referenced the vehicle provided and their services rather than specific case study, although referenced the vehicle provided and their services rather than specific case study, although referenced the vehicle provided and provided and services and their services rather than specific case study, although a provided and provided and services and the specific case study. Although a provided and their services are case to the services and the considerations is a stakeholder and speaking to building users on arrival and when work is complete. Provided this chied all only with carrier out all the services and the considerations is a stakeholder and speaking to building services on a review of the services and the considerations are serviced as good services on the consideration with regard to pre-contract meaning mental and when work is complete. Provided the critical services are required and further actions if req
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  Than Banking  Questions (As defined in Method Statement)  Part 3  Section 3 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion  Part 3  Section 3 - Grounds for discretionary exclusion  Part 3  Section 4 - Economic and Financial Standing  Part 3  Section 5 - Wider Group Financial Information  Part 3  Section 5 - Wider Group Financial Information  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  Col Cues Study  Please provide a single case study to demonstrate your experience of delivering services similar in scope and size to that detailed within the Specification Document relevant delivering services of a similar scale, nature and volume. The case study does not necessarily have to fer to account the least system. The case study does not necessarily have to fer the case study submitted. The named contact provided should be able to provide, if requested, written evidence to confirm the accuracy of the information provide ambient of similar volumes or not under a contract  Part 3  Section 7 - Modern Slavery Act 2015  Part 3  Section 7 - Modern Slavery Act 2015	70.00%  Criteria or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail self Assessment  Applicable to come questions and scored assessment  Cuestion 6.1 has a quality threshold with not be considered with not be considered with not be considered threshold with not be consider	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually five organisation from the process this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation connot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  If an organisation connot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  But the statements of the statements of the process at this point in the evaluation.  The Authority will use the scoring system shown above the evaluation to the process and the process of the process and the process and process of the process and process of the process and the process and process of the process and the process and process of the process and the process	Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass	70.00no	Provide supporting performance vidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvement. Will be reducing emissions with more efficient wheles and recycle to reduce waste going to landiff.  18.30%  Supplier 1  Italia Score  86.50%  I Motes  Roter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Overall a satisfactory response including. Nature of Works undertaken were PPM Program at a primary school, Location was within Northsumberland as a night project and Lower of the program of the	Criteries on Park	29.10%  8 Met  5 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Included Water management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle propriets and an one ordered of which 65% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vains.  11.00%  Supplier 2  Total Score 44.13%  2  Notes  Inter Additional Info (if required)  Enter Pass/Fall from the Bidder(s) submission  Enter Pass/Fall from the Bidder(s) submission  Enter Pass/Fall from the Bidder(s) submission  For example, and the construction of the standard services and the public case study. Cucation with the year of the standard services and the construction of the standard services and services and services a basic answer which overed pre contract meeting, broad the sharing of relevant information around delivent contraction with regard to pre contract / contract management, meeting and relevant correspondence as basic answer which overed pre contract meetings and the services and the public on a day to day basis Desiration services and the
Total Score (Quality + Cost) Winter brist Final Ranking  Questions (As defined in Method Statement)  Part 1  Section 2 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion  Part 2  Section 3 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion  Part 3  Section 6 - Economic and Financial Standing  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Guestion  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability  Additional Technical Questions  The Case Study And Technical Questions  The Case Study And Technical Questions  The Case Study And Technical Case Study  Section 7 - Modern Silvery Act 2015  Part 3  Section 7 - Modern Silvery Act 2015  Part 3  Section 8 2 - Insurance	70.00%  Criteria or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Constitute to some questions and scored assessment  Guestion 6.2 has a quality threabold with not be considered with not be considered. The second self-section of the second self-second self-secon	Final authority will use the somewhat be a state of 50% to PASS. Will result in a FALL and your organization submitted by the statement, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organization from the process at this point in the evaluation. The process at this point in the evaluation of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organization from the process at this point in the evaluation. By the organization from the process at this point in the evaluation. Bidders understall appraisal finaled resultant in the resultant of the process at this point in the evaluation. Bidders understall appraisal finaled resultant in the thought of the resultant in the thought of the resultant in the thought of the resultant in the resultant in the thought of the resultant in the resultant in the thought of the resultant in the	Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass	70.00no	Provide supporting performance vidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvement. Will be reducing emissions with more efficient wheles and recycle to reduce waste going to landiff.  18.30%  Supplier 1  Italia Score  86.50%  I Motes  Roter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Overall a satisfactory response including. Nature of Works undertaken were PPM Program at a primary school, Location was within Northsumberland as a night project and Lower of the program of the	Citeries on the Citeries of th	20.10%  8 Met  5 15  50.00%  550.00%	Installed Waster management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle proprietaed and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vains.  1.100%  1.100
Total Score (Quality + Cost)  Winter brist Final Ranking  Questions (As defined in Method Statement)  Part 3  Section 2 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion  Part 3  Section 3 - Grounds for mandatory exclusion  Part 3  Section 3 - Grounds for discretionary exclusion  Part 3  Section 4 - Economic and Financial Standing  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability Additional Technical Standing Section 6 - Commissional Standing  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability Additional Technical Questions  Part 3  Section 6 - Technical and Professional Ability Additional Technical Questions  The section of the Standing Section Standing Section 6 - Technical Standing Section 6 - Technical Standing Section 6 - Technical Questions  The case study board provide a release service. The case study board provide are level are serviced delivering services should have been delivered within  Reference contact best lais are required for the case study submitted. The named contact provided should be able to provide, if requested, written evidence for the case study submitted. The named contact provided should be able to provide, if requested, written evidence for the case study submitted. The named contact provided should be able to provide, if requested in security. The secretary secretary is secretary to the information provided below. The Authority secretary is secretary to the information provided below. The Authority receiverly. If wertification is sought and not received from the referree them the Authority reserves the right to discount the related case study.  Part 3  Section 8 - In momer than 500 words please provide an explanation for this e.g. your organisation is now start oper order or an extended contract of contract or a	70.00%  100.00%  Criteria or Section %  Yes/No  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail Self Assessment  Pass/Fail quality threshold applicable to some questions and scored assessment  Cuestion 6.2 has a quality threshold will not be considered with not be considered threshold will not be considered for threshold will not be considered for the pass/Fail  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail	Evaluation Comments  For information only  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process this point in the evaluation of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process this point in the evaluation of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at this point in the evaluation.  The Authority was the statement of the evaluation of the statements, the Authority reserves the information submitted by the successful the fundamental appraisal model provided— information submitted by the successful the Authority was the acceptance of the process and the process that point in the process that point in the evaluation.  Authority was the exception of the your organisation was the considered in the control of 50% to PASS.  Will receive the Authority was the acceptance of further or invited to tender.  If an organisation cannot confirm any of the statements, the Authority reserves the right to disqually the organisation from the process at the point in the value of the process at the process of the process the process at the process of the process the process at the process of the process the process of the process of the process that the process of the process of the process that the process of the process of the process that the process of the process of the process that the process of the process of the process that the process of the process of the process that the process of the process of the process that the process of the process of the process that the process of the process of the process that the process of the process of the process that the process of the process of the proce	Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass	70.00no	Provide supporting performance vidence where possible to demonstrate the effectiveness of the improvement. Will be reducing emissions with more efficient wheles and recycle to reduce waste going to landiff.  18.30%  Supplier 1  Italia Score  86.50%  I Motes  Roter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Enter Additional Info (if required)  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Notes  Overall a satisfactory response including. Nature of Works undertaken were PPM Program at a primary school, Location was within Northsumberland as a night project and Lower of the program of the	Criteries on Paris	20.10%  8 Met  5 15  15  15  15  15  15  15  15  15	Installed Waster management and providing substantiation by having 95% recycled. Already an electric vehicle provides and more ordered of which 60% will be electric with targeting to be 100% by 2023. Currently no electric vains.  1.100%.  1.100%.  2.  Notes  Inter Pass/Fall from the Bidder(s) submission  Enter Pass/Fall from the Bidder(s) submission  Enter Pass/Fall from the Bidder(s) submission  Enter Pass/Fall from the Bidder(s) submission  For equiversity and the consideration of the control o